

Mark McClure, Department of Mathematics, St. Olaf College,  
Northfield, MN 55057, USA (email: mcclure@stolaf.edu)

# THE PREVALENT DIMENSION OF GRAPHS

## Abstract

We show that the upper entropy dimension of the prevalent function  $f \in C[0, 1]$  is 2.

## 1 Prevalence

The extension of the various notions of “almost every” in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to infinite dimensional spaces is an interesting and difficult problem. Perhaps the simplest and most successful generalization has been through the use of category. Banach’s application of category to the investigation of differentiability is classic. As another example, [HP] demonstrates that the graph of the generic function has lower entropy dimension one and upper entropy dimension two. There are fundamental difficulties, however, with attempts to extend measures to infinite dimensional spaces. Prevalence is a notion defined in [HSY] which generalizes the measure theoretic “almost every” without actually defining a measure on the entire space. An equivalent notion was originally introduced in [Chr] as pointed out in [HSY2]. Prevalence is defined as follows: Let  $V$  be a Banach space. A Borel set  $A \subset V$  will be called shy if there is a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $V$  such that  $\mu(A + v) = 0$  for every  $v \in V$ . More generally, a subset of a shy Borel set will be called shy. In [HSY] it is shown that shyness satisfies all the properties one would expect of a generalization of measure zero. For example:

1. Shyness is shift invariant.
2. Shyness is closed under countable unions.
3. A subset of a shy set is shy.

---

Key Words: Prevalence, Fractal Dimensions

4. A shy set has empty interior.
5. If  $V = \mathbf{R}^n$ , then the shy sets coincide with the measure zero sets.

The complement of a shy set will be called prevalent. The goal here is to investigate the prevalent dimensional properties of graphs of functions.

## 2 Dimension

In this section, we define the upper entropy index,  $\Delta$ , and from that the upper entropy dimension,  $\widehat{\Delta}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -square mesh for  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is defined as the collection of closed squares  $\{[i\varepsilon, (i+1)\varepsilon] \times [j\varepsilon, (j+1)\varepsilon]\}_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ . For a totally bounded set  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , define

$$N_\varepsilon(E) = \# \text{ of } \varepsilon\text{-mesh squares which meet } E$$

and

$$\Delta(E) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_\varepsilon(E)}{-\log \varepsilon}.$$

An easy but important property of  $\Delta$  is that it respects closure. That is  $\Delta(E) = \Delta(\overline{E})$ . Another ([F] p. 41) is that the limsup need only be taken along any sequence  $\{c^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  where  $c \in (0, 1)$  and we still obtain the same value. One problem with  $\Delta$  is that it is not  $\sigma$ -stable. In other words it is possible that  $\Delta(\cup_n E_n) > \sup_n \{\Delta(E_n)\}$ . For example,  $\Delta(\mathbf{Q}) = 1$  even though  $\mathbf{Q}$  is countable. For this reason,  $\Delta$  is used to define a new set function,  $\widehat{\Delta}$ , defined by:

$$\widehat{\Delta}(E) = \inf_n \{\sup \{\Delta(E_n)\} : E = \cup_n E_n\}.$$

This new  $\sigma$ -stable set function,  $\widehat{\Delta}$ , is the upper entropy index. See [Edg] section 6.5 or [F] sections 3.1 through 3.3 for reference.

We may now state the main result. Let  $C[0, 1]$  denote the Banach space of continuous, real valued functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  with the uniform metric  $\rho$ . For  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , let  $G(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in [0, 1]\}$  denote the graph of  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.1** The set  $\{f \in C[0, 1] : \widehat{\Delta}(G(f)) = 2\}$  is a prevalent subset of  $C[0, 1]$ .

## 3 Application

In this section, we prove several lemmas and Theorem 2.1. First we fix some notation. Let  $I = [k2^{-m}, (k+1)2^{-m}] \subset [0, 1]$  be a dyadic interval, where  $k, m \in \mathbf{N}$  are fixed. For  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , let  $G_I(f) = \{(x, f(x))\}_{x \in I}$  be that

portion of the graph of  $f$  lying over  $I$ . For any interval  $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  define  $R_f[a, b] = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : a < x, y < b\}$ . For  $n > m$ , let

$$M_{2^{-n}}(f) = 2^n \sum_{i=k2^{n-m}}^{(k+1)2^{n-m}-1} R_f[i2^{-n}, (i+1)2^{-n}].$$

For  $\gamma \in [1, 2)$ , let  $A_\gamma = \{f \in C[0, 1] : \Delta(G_I(f)) > \gamma\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** For every  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and natural number  $n > m$ ,

$$M_{2^{-n}}(f) \leq N_{2^{-n}}(G_I(f)) \leq 2^{n-m+1} + M_{2^{-n}}(f).$$

**Proof:** See [F] proposition 11.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1** For every  $f \in C[0, 1]$ ,

$$\Delta(G_I(f)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{2^{-n}}(f)}{\log 2^n}.$$

**Proof:** Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} M_{2^{-n}}(f) = \infty$ . Thus

$$1 \leq \frac{N_{2^{-n}}(G_I(f))}{M_{2^{-n}}(f)} \leq \frac{2^{n-m+1} + M_{2^{-n}}(f)}{M_{2^{-n}}(f)} \rightarrow 1$$

or  $M_{2^{-n}} \sim N_{2^{-n}}(G_I(f))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The result easily follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** The set  $A_\gamma$  is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  subset of  $C[0, 1]$ .

**Proof:** For any rational number  $q \in (\gamma, 2)$  and any natural number  $n > m$ , let

$$A_q(n) = \{f \in C[0, 1] : \frac{\log M_{2^{-n}}(f)}{\log 2^n} > q\}.$$

Note that

$$A_\gamma = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (\gamma, 2)} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_q(n).$$

So it suffices to show that  $A_q(n)$  is an open set.

Let  $f \in A_q(n)$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so small that

$$\frac{\log(M_{2^{-n}}(f) - \varepsilon)}{\log 2^n} > q.$$

Suppose that  $g \in C[0, 1]$  satisfies  $\rho(f, g) < \varepsilon 2^{-n}$ . Then the triangle inequality yields

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - g(y)| + |g(y) - f(y)|.$$

Thus

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \geq |f(x) - f(y)| - 2\varepsilon 2^{-n} \geq |f(x) - f(y)| - \varepsilon 2^{m-n}.$$

Therefore

$$R_g[i2^{-n}, (i+1)2^{-n}] \geq R_f[i2^{-n}, (i+1)2^{-n}] - 2^{m-n}\varepsilon$$

and

$$\frac{\log(M_{2^{-n}}(g))}{\log 2^n} \geq \frac{\log(M_{2^{-n}}(f) - \varepsilon)}{\log 2^n} > q.$$

Thus  $g \in A_q(n)$  and  $A_q(n)$  is open.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** For all  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta(G_I(f)) = \Delta(G_I(\lambda f))$ .

**Proof:** This is a simple consequence of the fact that  $R_{\lambda f}[a, b] = \lambda R_f[a, b]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** For all  $f, g \in C[0, 1]$ ,

$$\Delta(G_I(f + g)) \leq \max\{\Delta(G_I(f)), \Delta(G_I(g))\}.$$

**Proof:** This is a simple consequence of the inequality

$$R_{f+g}[a, b] \leq R_f[a, b] + R_g[a, b] \leq 2 \max\{R_f[a, b], R_g[a, b]\}. \square$$

**Lemma 3.5** For all  $\gamma < 2$ ,  $A_\gamma$  is a prevalent, Borel set.

**Proof:**  $A_\gamma$  is a Borel set by lemma 3.2. Let  $g \in C[0, 1]$  satisfy  $\Delta(G_I(g)) > \gamma$ . The existence of such a  $g$  is guaranteed by the fact that the typical  $g \in C[0, 1]$  satisfies  $\Delta(G_I(g)) = 2$  (see [HP], Proposition 2). Let  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue type measure concentrated on the line  $[g]$  defined by  $[g] = \{\lambda g \in C[0, 1] : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ . Let  $h \in C[0, 1]$ . We will show that  $\#\{(A_\gamma^c + h) \cap [g]\} = 1$ . Therefore,  $\mu(A_\gamma^c + h) = 0$ . Suppose that  $f_1, f_2 \in A_\gamma^c$  are such that  $f_1 + h \in [g]$  and  $f_2 + h \in [g]$ . Then there exists  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f_1 + h = \lambda_1 g$  and  $f_2 + h = \lambda_2 g$ . This implies  $h = \lambda_1 g - f_1 = \lambda_2 g - f_2$ . Thus  $f_1 - f_2 = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)g$ . This can only happen if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  by lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. Therefore,  $f_1 = f_2$ . Since  $h$  is arbitrary, this says that  $A_\gamma^c$  is a shy set or  $A_\gamma$  is a prevalent set.  $\square$

By expressing  $\{f \in C[0, 1] : \Delta(G_I(f)) = 2\}$  as a countable intersection

$$\{f \in C[0, 1] : \Delta(G_I(f)) = 2\} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (1, 2)} A_\gamma,$$

we obtain the following:

**Corollary 3.2** The set  $\{f \in C[0, 1] : \Delta(G_I(f)) = 2\}$  is a prevalent, Borel subset of  $C[0, 1]$ .

Finally, we prove theorem 2.1.

**Proof:** Let  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an enumeration of the dyadic intervals and let

$$A_n = \{f \in C[0, 1] : \Delta(G_{I_n}(f)) = 2\}.$$

Then  $A_n$  is a prevalent, Borel set by corollary 3.2, as is  $A = \bigcap_1^\infty A_n$ , being the countable intersection of prevalent, Borel sets. If

$$B = \{f \in C[0, 1] : \widehat{\Delta}(G(f)) = 2\},$$

then we claim that  $A \subset B$ . Let  $f \in A$  and let  $G(f) = \bigcup_1^\infty E_n$  be a decomposition. Since  $\Delta$  respects closure, we may assume that the  $E_n$ 's are closed. Since  $G(f)$  is closed, one of the  $E_n$ 's must be somewhere dense by the Baire category theorem. Therefore,  $E_n \supset G_{I_k}(f)$  for some  $n, k$ . Thus,  $\Delta(E_n) \geq \Delta(G_{I_k}(f)) = 2$  and  $\widehat{\Delta}(G(f)) = 2$ . Therefore,  $B$  is a prevalent set since it is the superset of a prevalent, Borel set.  $\square$

## References

- [Chr] J. P. R. Christensen, "On Sets of Haar Measure Zero in Abelian Polish Groups", *Israel J. Math.* **13** (1972), 255-60.
- [Edg] G. A. Edgar. *Measure, Topology, and Fractal Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1990.
- [F] K. J. Falconer. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. John Wiley and Sons, West Sussex, England, 1990.
- [HP] P. D. Humke and G. Petruska. The Packing Dimension of a Typical Continuous Function is 2. *Real Analysis Exchange*, **14** (1989), 345-58.
- [HSY] Brian Hunt, Tim Sauer, and James Yorke. Prevalence: A translation invariant "almost every" on infinite dimensional spaces, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **27** (1992), 217 - 38.
- [HSY2] Brian Hunt, Tim Sauer, and James Yorke. "Prevalence: An Addendum", *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **28** (1993), 306-7.